American Public University System

The Ultimate Advantage is an Educated Mind

School of Security and Global Studies
NSEC501

Institutions of National Security
Credit Hours: 3

Length of Course: 8 Weeks Prerequisite: NSEC500

Table of Contents

Instructor Information	Evaluation Procedures
Course Description	Grading Scale
Course Scope	Course Outline
Course Objectives	<u>Policies</u>
Course Delivery Method	Online Library and Turnitin
Course Resources	Selected Bibliography

Instructor Information

Instructor: [insert name with credentials]
Biography: [insert APUS biography link]

Please contact your instructor through the Messages tab in the classroom.

After the class is over, instructor contact information: [insert mycampus address]

Table of Contents

Course Description (Catalog)

The course will cover the roles, missions, organization, capabilities, unique cultures and strategic purposes of the President, the Departments of State

and Defense, Congress, National Security Council, Armed Forces, intelligence community, and NGOs, as well as how these actors interact to formulate national security strategy. You will examine some of the successes and failures of the interagency process and will gain an appreciation of the capabilities, limitations and organizational cultures of the players in the national security community, as well as an overview of legal and ethical issues that impact on the development of national security policy.

Table of Contents

Course Scope

As part of the core requirement, this course introduces the student to critical elements of the discipline. Students will participate in weekly Discussion Forums that correlate to weekly readings. Students will write an analytical research paper and will write a comprehensive final assignment.

Table of Contents

Course Objectives

After successfully completing this course, you will be able to:

- **CO-1:** Analyze the recent history and evolution of the U.S. national security community institutions.
- **CO-2**: Examine the structure, function, capabilities and contributions of individual U.S. security community members.
- **CO-3:** Assess the impact of individual actors' roles and contributions to national security policy.
- **CO-4:** Appraise the impact of interagency relationships on U.S. national security policy decision making
- **CO-5:** Differentiate among conflicting and competing interests and their impact on national security policy development.

Table of Contents

Course Delivery Method

This course, delivered via distance learning, will enable students to complete academic work in a flexible manner, completely online. Course materials and access to an online learning management system will be available to each student. Online assignments are due by Sunday at 11:55 pm ET and include all written assignments, examinations, and research papers submitted for grading. Weekly Forum questions require an initial response by Thursday at 11:55 pm ET, with all other required responses due by Sunday at 11:55 pm ET.

Table of Contents

Course Resources

The **required** text for this course is:

George, Roger A., Rishikof, Harvey. *The National Security Enterprise, Navigating the Labyrinth.* Georgetown University
Press. Washington, D.C., 2011

Required Readings

- External websites and other assigned readings are found in the Lessons area of the classroom.
- Weekly Lesson Notes and videos or audio files are found in the Lessons area of the classroom.

Table of Contents

Evaluation Procedures

The course grade is based on the following assessments:

<u>Discussion Forums - 20 percent</u>

Each week, a discussion question is provided and posts should reflect an assimilation of the readings. Students are required to provide a substantive (complete with proper citations) initial post by Thursday at 11:55 pm ET and respond to 2 or more classmates (in at least two separate discussion threads) by Sunday 11:55 pm ET (complete with proper citations.) You must also at least post conversational comments per week. Forum posts are graded on timeliness, relevance, knowledge of the weekly readings, and the quality of original ideas.

Research Question, Purpose Statement, and Literature Review Exercise – 15 percent

The components of this assignment include a research question, a purpose statement, a literature view of at least 6 sources, two of which must be peer-reviewed, and a methodology. The specific research question should relate to a general topic in the course. 8-12 pages.

Research Paper - 35 percent

The research paper will build on the proposal assignment from week two (with improvements based on the instructor's comments) and should be at least 10 additional pages of data/findings/analysis of your topic -- not including the cover page, the reference list, and any appendices – for a total of 18-20 pages. Please use a specific political science research method.

Final Assignment - 30 percent

This assignment is a take-home essay assignment of two questions, 4-5 pages each, to test knowledge and assimilation of the course objectives. Please refer to the required text and readings from this course. You may supplement with other readings, but do not supplant the course readings. You will be required to know the course scholarship and literature.

Assignments	Percentage
Research Proposal	15 percent
Research Paper	35 percent

Forum Discussion Posts Final Assignment		20 percent 30 percent
	TOTAL	100 percent

Table of Contents

8 - Week Course Outline

<u>Week</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Learning</u> <u>Objective(s)</u>	<u>Readings</u>	<u>Assignments</u>
1	History of the emergence of the NSC process	CO-1, 2, 3	George & Rishikof, Chapters 1 & 2 See week one lessons	Week One Forum Discussion
2	The OMB and the State Department	CO-2, 4	George & Rishikof, Chapters 3 & 4 See week two lessons	Week Two Forum Discussion Paper Proposal Due
3	OSD and the Military	CO-2, 3, 4	George & Rishikof, Chapters 5 & 6	Week Three Forum Discussion

			See week three lessons	
4	ODNI and the CIA	CO-1, 2, 4	George & Rishikof, Chapters 7 & 8 See week four lessons	Week Four Forum Discussion
5	The FBI and DHS	CO-1, 2, 4	George & Rishikof, Chapters 9 & 10 See week five lessons	Week Five Forum Discussion
6	Congress and the Courts	CO-2, 5	George & Rishikof, Chapters 11 & 12 See week six lessons	Week Six Forum Discussion Research Paper Due
7	External Lobbyists and the Tanks	CO-5	George & Rishikof, Chapters 13 & 14 See week seven lessons	Week Seven Forum Discussion
8	Media Influences	CO-3, 5	George & Rishikof, Chapters 15 &	Week Eight Forum Discussion

and Navigating	16	Final Assessment
the Maze	See week eight lessons	

Policies

Please see the <u>Student Handbook</u> to reference all University policies. Quick links to frequently asked question about policies are listed below.

Drop/Withdrawal Policy
Plagiarism Policy
Extension Process and Policy
Disability Accommodations

Citation and Reference Style

Attention Please: Students will follow the Turabian/Chicago Style as the sole citation and reference style used in written work submitted as part of coursework to the University.

See http://www.apus.edu/Online-Library/tutorials/chicago.htm. All written submissions should be submitted in Times New Roman 12pt font with 1" margins, typewritten in double-spaced format. Graduate-level work is expected to be free of grammar, usage, and style errors.

Late Assignments

Students are expected to submit classroom assignments by the posted due date and to complete the course according to the published class schedule. As adults, students, and working professionals, I understand you must manage competing demands on your time. Should you need additional time to complete an assignment, please contact me before the due date so we can discuss the situation and determine an acceptable resolution. Routine submission of late assignments is unacceptable and may result in points deducted from your final course grade.

<u>Netiquette</u>

Online universities promote the advancement of knowledge through positive and constructive debate – both inside and outside the classroom. Forums on

the Internet, however, can occasionally degenerate into needless insults and "flaming." Such activity and the loss of good manners are not acceptable in a university setting – basic academic rules of good behavior and proper "Netiquette" must persist. Remember that you are in a place for the rewards and excitement of learning which does not include descent to personal attacks or student attempts to stifle the Forum of others.

- Technology Limitations: While you should feel free to explore the full-range of creative composition in your formal papers, keep e-mail layouts simple. The Sakai classroom may not fully support MIME or HTML encoded messages, which means that bold face, italics, underlining, and a variety of color-coding or other visual effects will not translate in your e-mail messages.
- **Humor Note:** Despite the best of intentions, jokes and <u>especially</u> satire can easily get lost or taken seriously. If you feel the need for humor, you may wish to add "emoticons" to help alert your readers: ;-),:), ©

Table of Contents

Online Library

The Online Library is available to enrolled students and faculty from inside the electronic campus. This is your starting point for access to online books, subscription periodicals, and Web resources that are designed to support your classes and generally not available through search engines on the open Web. In addition, the Online Library provides access to special learning resources, which the University has contracted to assist with your studies. Questions can be directed to **librarian@apus.edu**.

- Charles Town Library and Inter Library Loan: The University
 maintains a special library with a limited number of supporting
 volumes, collection of our professors' publication, and services to
 search and borrow research books and articles from other libraries.
- **Electronic Books:** You can use the online library to uncover and download over 50,000 titles, which have been scanned and made available in electronic format.

- **Electronic Journals:** The University provides access to over 12,000 journals, which are available in electronic form and only through limited subscription services.
- **Tutor.com**: AMU and APU Civilian & Coast Guard students are eligible for 10 free hours of tutoring provided by APUS. Tutor.com connects you with a professional tutor online 24/7 to provide help with assignments, studying, test prep, resume writing, and more. Tutor.com is tutoring the way it was meant to be. You get expert tutoring whenever you need help, and you work one-to-one with your tutor in your online classroom on your specific problem until it is done.

Request a Library Guide for your course (http://apus.libquides.com/index.php)

The AMU/APU Library Guides provide access to collections of trusted sites on the Open Web and licensed resources on the Deep Web. The following are specially tailored for academic research at APUS:

- Program Portals contain topical and methodological resources to help launch general research in the degree program. To locate, search by department name, or navigate by school.
- Course Lib-Guides narrow the focus to relevant resources for the corresponding course. To locate, search by class code (e.g., SOCI111), or class name.

If a guide you need is not available yet, please email the APUS Library: librarian@apus.edu.

Turnitin.com

Faculty require assignments be submitted to Turnitin.com. Turnitin.com will analyze a paper and report instances of potential plagiarism for the student to edit before submitting it for a grade. The student should submit the paper via the assignments tab, and turnitin.com will generate a similarity report for the professor.

Table of Contents

Selected Bibliography

Table of Contents

Ole Holsti, "Models in International Relations and Foreign Policy," *Diplomatic History* 13, 1 (Winter 1989), 15-43. (Reprinted in <u>American Foreign Policy: Theoretical Essays</u>, edited by G. John Ikenberry.)

Alexander George, "The Operational Code: A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision Making," *International Studies Quarterly* 13, 2 (June 1969) 190-222.

I.M. Destler, "National Security Advice to U.S. Presidents: Some Lessons from Thirty Years," *World Politics* 29, 2 (January 1977) 143-176.

Graham Allison, "Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis," *American Political Science Review* 63, 3 (September 1969), 689-718.

Joseph S. Nye, Jr. "The Future of American Power: Dominance and Decline in Perspective," Foreign Affairs 89, 6 (November/December 2010) 2-12.

Karl W. Eikenberry "The Militarization of U.S. Foreign Policy," American Foreign Policy Interests 35 (2013) 1–8.

Steven L. Rearden, History of the Office of the Secretary of Defense: Formative Years, 1947-1950. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1984.

Ole Jacob Sending, Vincent Pouliot and Iver B. Neumann, "The future of diplomacy: Changing practices, evolving relationships," *International Journal* 66, 3 (Summer 2011) 527-542.

Michael Warner, ed. Central Intelligence Origin and Evolution. Washington, DC: Center for the Study of Intelligence, 2001.

Mark Lowenthal, "Tribal tongues: Intelligence consumers, intelligence producers," Washington Quarterly 15, 1 (Winter 1992) 157-164.

L. Britt Snider, "Congressional Oversight of Intelligence," monograph by the Center for Law, Ethics, and National Security, Duke University School of Law, 2004.

Loch K. Johnson, "Covert Action and Accountability: Decision-Making for America's Secret Foreign Policy," *International Studies Quarterly* 33, 1 (March 1989) 81-109.

James Burch, "A Domestic Intelligence Agency for the United States," *Homeland Security Affairs* 3, 2 (June 2007) 1-26.

James M. Lindsay, "Congress, Foreign Policy, and the New Institutionalism," *International Studies Quarterly* 38, 2 (June 1994) 281-304.

Patrick J. Haney, and Walt Vanderbush "The Role of Ethnic Interest Groups in U.S. Foreign Policy: the Case of the Cuban American National Foundation," *International Studies Quarterly* 43, 2 (June 1999), 342-361.

Lawrence R. Jacobs, and Benjamin Page, "Who influences U.S. Foreign Policy?" *American Political Science Review* 22, 1 (February 2005), 107-123.

I.M. Destler, "The Reasonable Public and the Polarized Policy Process," In <u>The Real and the Ideal: Essays on International Relations in Honor of Richard H. Wilman</u>, edited by Anthony Lake and David Ochmanek. (Lanham, MD): Rowman and Littlefield Publishers, 2001.

Stephen Krasner, "Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland)," Foreign Policy 7 (Summer 1971), 159-179. (Reprinted in American Foreign Policy: Theoretical Essays, edited by G. John Ikenberry.)

J. David Singer, "The Level of Analysis Problem in International Relations," World Politics 14, 1 (October 1961) 77-92.